

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/424,427 02/28/00 FREESTONE m 1175KH-03 **EXAMINER** HM22/1012 CHARLES D GUNTER JR WARE, D FELSMAN BRADLEY VADEN GUNTER & DILLON **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 201 MAIN STREET **SUITE 1600** 1651 FORT WORTH TX 76102 **DATE MAILED:** 10/12/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application, No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	09/424,427	Freestone	da!
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Ware	1651	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet be	eneath the correspondence ad	ldress
Period for Reply			•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO	SEADINE -3	MONTH(S) EDOM THE MAN	INC DATE
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	LATINE	MONTH(S) PHOW THE MAIL	ING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, e Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 	ly within the statutory minimo	um of thirty (30) days will be considere	ed timely.
Status	,	,	·
Thesponsive to communication(s) filed on 11-26	1-99		
☐ This action is FINAL .			•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	or formal matters, prose C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the merits is clos	ed in
Disposition of Claims			÷
(Delaim(s) 1-16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are pending in the appl	ication.
Of the above claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are allowed.	
Ofaim(s) /-/6		is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	are subject to restriction c	or election
Application Papers		,	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner.		•
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 	- , , ,		
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Inter 		ule 1 7.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Attachment(s)		-	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s) □ In	terview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		otice of Informal Patent Applicati	on, PTO-152
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		ther	
	Action Summary		4

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 1-16 are presented for examination on the merits.

The Preliminary amendment filed November 22, 1999, has been received and entered.

The drawings are objected to because of those reasons set forth on the enclosed PTO-948 Form. Correction is required.

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.

1. Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a). The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification. It should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See 37CFR 1.96(c) and MPEP § 608.05. The total number of microfiche and the total number frames should be specified.
- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) <u>Description of the Related Art</u>: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art

Application/Control Number: 09/424,427

Art Unit: 1651

and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art"

- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention of the invention on the invention.

 (g) Brief Description of the Invention in may also be titled "Background Art."

 (and preferal statement of the whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it the Background of the Invention. In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention the invention.

 (g) Brief Description of the Invention was a property of the invention and understanding of
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description and accurately. This item may also be titled "Best Mode for Carrying Out the Michael and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved of the invention which adequately described the specification should refer to another patent or read available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The clarth a separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentation.

 Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The clarth a separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentation. Segregate subcombinations or related steps.
- Abstract of the Disclosure: A brief narrative of the disclosure of

(k) <u>Drawings</u>: See 37 CFR 1.81, 1.83-1.85, and MPEP § 608.02.

- (l) Sequence Listing: See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.
- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not consist of a whole and complete paragraph comprising from 50 to 250 words for which to describe all of the embodiments of the claimed invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-4 are rendered vague and indefinite for the recitation of the term "characterised" since it is unclear whether all of the claimed characteristics have been set forth in the claim.

Further, the term "substantially" is vague unless its scope is well defined in the specification.

Claims 5-15 are rendered vague and indefinite for the recitation of "the autoinducer" which lacks antecedent basis in the claims.

5. Claim 16 provides for the use of a bacterial autoinducer according to any one of the preceding claims in a method of inducing bacterial growth, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is

Art Unit: 1651

intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 16 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

The claims are free of the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah K. Ware whose telephone number is (703) 308-4245. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 9:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

DEBORAH K. WARE
PATENT EXAMNER
Deborah K. Ware

Art Unit 1651

September 20, 2000